

1 **An Inductive Exploration into the Flow Experiences of European Tour Golfers**

2

3

4

5 Christian Swann¹, Lee Crust¹, Richard Keegan², David Piggott³ & Brian Hemmings⁴

6

7 ¹*School of Sport and Exercise Science, University of Lincoln, Lincoln, UK*

8 ²*Research Institute for Sport and Exercise, University of Canberra, Canberra,*

9 *Australia*

10 ³*Research Centre for Sport Coaching and Physical Education, Leeds Metropolitan*

11 *University, Leeds, UK*

12 ⁴*School of Sport, Health, and Applied Science, St. Mary's University College,*

13 *Twickenham, UK*

14

15 Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Christian Swann,

16 School of Sport and Exercise Science, University of Lincoln, Brayford Pool, Lincoln,

17 LN6 7TS. Email: cswann@lincoln.ac.uk; Telephone: (+44) 1522 886030.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 **An Inductive Exploration into the Flow Experiences of European Tour Golfers**

2 **Abstract**

3 This study explored perceptions regarding the experience of flow (Csikszentmihalyi
4 1975) in elite golf; a sport which is different to those studied previously due to its self-
5 paced, stop-start nature. In-depth, semi-structured interviews were conducted with 10
6 European Tour golfers. Whereas the majority of previous studies have deductively
7 coded data into Csikszentmihalyi's dimensions, the data in this study were analysed
8 inductively. Thirteen categories were generated which described the flow experiences
9 of these golfers, and these were compared to the original flow dimensions after
10 analysis. In contrast to previous understanding, these golfers reported being aware that
11 they were in flow as it occurred, and seemingly were able to manage their flow
12 experiences. A category describing altered cognitive and kinaesthetic perceptions was
13 also generated which was not accounted for in the existing flow framework, while the
14 participants also suggested that flow was observable (e.g., through changes in
15 behaviour). Findings are discussed in relation to existing literature, and
16 recommendations made for future research including possible revisions to the flow
17 framework to better describe this experience within golf and other sporting contexts.

18

19 **Keywords:** elite athletes; performance; positive psychology; optimal experience;
20 sport psychology.

21

22

23

24

25

1 **Introduction**

2 In sport psychology, flow (Csikszentmihalyi 1975, 2002) is conceptualised as
3 an intrinsically rewarding and often harmonious experience in which attention is
4 fully invested in an activity, leading to complete task immersion and high level
5 functioning. Flow is regarded as being an optimal experience (e.g., Jackson &
6 Kimiecik 2008); that is, a state representing some of the most enjoyable, rewarding,
7 and memorable times a person can have. Individuals experiencing flow frequently
8 report performing at the peak of their ability (Jackson & Roberts 1992), making this
9 state highly desirable for athletes. Indeed, flow is of particular relevance to those
10 participating in elite sport where performing at one's peak could have major
11 implications for success in competition (Nicholls *et al.* 2005). There have also been
12 suggestions that flow has psychological benefits such as increased self-concept
13 (Jackson *et al.* 2001) and wellbeing (Haworth 1993). These are especially important
14 given recent suggestions that high-performance athletes are not protected from
15 mental disorders as previously thought due to their unique work characteristics and
16 the physical and mental strains they endure (Bär & Markser 2013). Therefore
17 experiencing flow has important performance-based and psychological benefits, and
18 understanding these experiences from the athlete's perspective could yield important
19 insights into how it may be experienced more often. In this article, our aim is to
20 explore and analyse elite golfers' perceptions regarding the experience of flow, in
21 the highly-elite and relatively under-researched context of the European Tour.

22 **The Experience of Flow in Sport**

23 Current understanding of flow is derived from Csikszentmihalyi's (2002)
24 conceptualisation of the experience into nine dimensions. Three of these dimensions

1 are proposed to be conditions through which the experience occurs (Nakamura &
2 Csikszentmihalyi 2002), namely: *challenge-skill balance* (a balance between high
3 perceived skills and demands in the situation); *clear goals* so that one knows exactly
4 what to do during the performance; and *unambiguous feedback* about the progress
5 that is being made. The other six dimensions are suggested to be characteristics
6 which describe what the experience is like (Nakamura & Csikszentmihalyi 2002):
7 *action-awareness merging* (deep involvement leads to automaticity and
8 spontaneity); *concentration on the task at hand* (complete focusing of attention);
9 *loss of self-consciousness* (concern for the self disappears and the individual
10 becomes absorbed in the activity); *sense of control* (e.g., over the performance); *time*
11 *transformation* (i.e., either slowing down or speeding up); and *autotelic experience*
12 (the experience is perceived as enjoyable and intrinsically rewarding¹).

13 In sport, a number of studies have sought to understand how athletes
14 experience flow (e.g., Bernier *et al.* 2009; Chavez 2008; Jackson 1992, 1996;
15 Sugiyama & Inomata 2005; Young 2000) with qualitative methods (i.e., semi-
16 structured interviews) predominantly used in an attempt to gain rich descriptions and
17 insights into these athletes' experiences (e.g., Jackson & Kimiecik 2008). With the
18 exception of Chavez (2008), however, all of these studies have used a deductive
19 style of analysis, coding data *into* Csikszentmihalyi's nine flow dimensions. This
20 deductive approach was first used in order to explore if/how Csikszentmihalyi's
21 conceptualisation applied in sport (e.g., Jackson 1992, 1996), and has since become

¹ There appear to be instances of inconsistency regarding the specific number of flow dimensions. For example, clear goals and unambiguous feedback have been presented either separately (e.g., Jackson & Csikszentmihalyi, 1999) or as one dimension (e.g., Nakamura & Csikszentmihalyi, 2002). Furthermore, autotelic experience is referred to as a ninth flow dimension in some instances (e.g., Jackson & Csikszentmihalyi, 1999) but in others, usually outside of sport, it is seen as a description of flow as a whole (e.g., Engeser & Rheinberg, 2008). However, flow is most often conceptualised as these *nine* dimensions, particularly within sport research.

1 the main approach to analysis of this type of data. Therefore, most understanding of
2 how flow is experienced by athletes is based on this process of deductive analysis
3 into Csikszentmihalyi's flow dimensions (Author 1 *et al.* 2012a).

4 There are, however, problems with this approach. From a philosophical
5 perspective, an issue with over-reliance on deductive coding is that findings could
6 essentially be "shoe-horned" into the flow dimensions, without allowing for
7 evolution or refinement of the theory, e.g., to be more specific to sport (Author 1 *et*
8 *al.* 2012a). This practice may prevent the emergence of new ideas and insights
9 driven, for example, by subtle differences in the flow experience between sports or
10 levels of expertise, which have been suggested previously (e.g., Chavez 2008;
11 Jackson 1992, 1996).

12 This deductive approach also poses practical problems when investigating
13 flow experiences in sport. For example, deductive analysis implicitly assumes that
14 the dimensions guiding the analysis are correct and sufficiently clear to prevent
15 erroneous or incorrect coding (e.g., Hyde 2000). However, researchers have detected
16 to "ambiguity concerning individual characteristics of flow" (Kowal & Fortier 1999,
17 p.365), and overlap is apparent in the definition of certain dimensions. For example,
18 Jackson and Csikszentmihalyi (1999) suggest that "when you feel at one with the
19 movements you are making, you are experiencing...the merging of action and
20 awareness" (p.19); and yet: "when athletes speak of becoming one with the activity,
21 they are also referring to freeing themselves [i.e., loss] of self-consciousness" (p.27).
22 Both definitions refer to being "at one" with the activity meaning that coding themes
23 relating to absorption could be problematic. It is also unclear as to where key
24 constructs (such as optimal arousal and confidence) fit into the nine flow dimensions
25 (Author 1 *et al.* 2012a). For example, confidence has been referred to in three

1 different dimensions: *challenge-skill balance*, *clear goals*, and *sense of control*
2 (Jackson & Csikszentmihalyi 1999). As such, data pertaining to these constructs
3 could be difficult to code into the existing framework.

4 These ambiguities in the existing framework and related definitions could
5 also make it difficult for researchers to deductively code qualitative data into them,
6 and could cause some lower-order and raw data themes to be misplaced. Indeed,
7 there are instances in previous studies where such coding seems questionable,
8 indicative of a shoe-horning approach (see Table 1). For example, perceptions
9 relating to energy, pain, the body and feeling strong have been coded into *autotelic*
10 *experience*, which is defined as the intrinsically-rewarding aspect of flow, and does
11 not seem appropriate (Author 1 *et al.* 2012a). Other perceptions regarding the
12 athlete's body have also been deductively coded into *sense of control*, *action-*
13 *awareness merging*, and *unambiguous feedback* (see Table 1). These perceptions are
14 similar to the idea of *body sensations* (Bernier *et al.* 2009; Chavez 2008), which is
15 suggested to involve "a heightened perception of the body in the environment in
16 which the athlete is competing" (Chavez 2008, p.88). Similar to literature on
17 physical embodiment (cf. Spinney, 2006), such perceptions do not appear to fit with
18 Csikszentmihalyi's dimensions and may even be an extra, sport-specific, dimension
19 of flow states.

20 **[Insert Table 1 near here]**

21 An inductive approach may be useful to help avoid/address the practical and
22 philosophical issues in deductive coding described above. Descriptions that are
23 generated inductively, free of the concern to fit them into the existing framework,
24 could *then* be compared to the existing conceptualisation of flow in order to explore
25 if, and how, flow is experienced differently between sporting contexts (Author 1 *et*

1 *al.* 2012a). Such an approach could allow new themes to emerge from the data,
2 providing more context-specific understanding of how flow is experienced by certain
3 populations of athlete (i.e., from different sports). In turn, an inductive approach
4 could be useful in developing recommendations (e.g., for experiencing flow) which
5 are more specific to certain populations of athlete, rather than relying on more
6 generic recommendations based on multi-sport samples (e.g., Jackson 1995, 1996).

7 In support of this argument, Chavez (2008) inductively analysed data from
8 16 NCAA Division 1 team and individual athletes. A number of categories were
9 similar to Csikszentmihalyi's dimensions (such as *perception of control*, *self-*
10 *consciousness goes away*, and *focus and concentration*) but, importantly, categories
11 were also identified which were not immediately accounted for in the existing
12 framework, including *heightened visual perception*, *relaxed and calm aspects of*
13 *experience*, and *auditory sensations*. While Chavez did not attempt to use these
14 findings to critique or refine the existing framework, they do suggest that further
15 dimensions may be needed to more fully describe the flow experience within
16 sporting contexts.

17 It is suggested that flow may differ between sporting contexts (e.g., Chavez,
18 2008; Kimiecik & Stein 1992), and therefore, isolating a single context of athletes
19 could help researchers make clearer comparisons between settings (Author 1 *et al.*
20 2012a). Studies qualitatively investigating how athletes experience flow have either
21 combined multi-sport samples (e.g., Chavez 2008; Jackson 1996; Sugiyama &
22 Inomata 2005), or single-sport samples from tennis (Young 2000), figure skating
23 (Jackson 1992) and swimming (Bernier *et al.* 2009). In contrast to these sports,
24 rounds of golf can last up to five or even six hours at the elite level, meaning that
25 there are often long periods of time between each shot. Indeed, only around 0.5% of

1 time during a tournament round may actually be spent executing golf shots (Smith
2 2010). These periods between shots could be cognitively demanding and “can lead to
3 over-thinking, distraction, perceptions of inadequacy, overly elevated emotions such as
4 anxiety or fear of failure, and possibly even lead to the activation of ironic processes”
5 (Singer 2002, p.360). Golfers would presumably need to overcome all of these in order
6 to experience flow. Therefore it could be the case that flow states are experienced
7 differently in golf compared to other sports studied previously, warranting
8 investigation.

9 A number of studies have investigated optimal psychological states within
10 golf. Cohn (1991) explored the characteristics of *peak performance* (but not flow) in
11 golf; while two studies have explored the *factors influencing* (e.g., facilitating,
12 preventing, and disrupting) flow how flow occurs (Catley & Duda 1997; Author 1 *et*
13 *al.* 2012b). Stein *et al.* (1995) also included golfers in their sample when
14 investigating psychological *antecedents* of flow in recreational athletes. No studies,
15 however, have yet explored what the experience of flow is like in golf. Furthermore,
16 exploring flow at the elite level, where it is arguably of most relevance in terms of
17 performance benefits (Nicholls *et al.* 2005), could provide insights for athletes
18 aiming to reach the highest level. Elite athletes are assumed to have a larger
19 reference base to draw upon when discussing their experiences in sport, and may be
20 more regularly exposed to the challenging situations suggested to be a key condition
21 of flow, enhancing the richness of data obtained (Jackson 1996).

22 Therefore, this study aimed to explore qualitatively perceptions regarding the
23 experience of flow in elite, full-time European Tour golfers. This will begin to
24 address researchers’ calls to explore what flow states are like for athletes in different
25 sports (e.g., Chavez 2008; Jackson 1992, 1996), as well as provide an insight into the

1 peak states of a highly-elite sample. This study aimed to avoid the deductive
2 approach described above by allowing themes to emerge from the data as inductively
3 as possible. In doing so, we aimed to compare the resulting description of flow to
4 Csikszentmihalyi's dimensions *after* analysis, rather than using it as an *a priori*
5 framework, thus responding to Jackson's (1996) call for research "refining
6 Csikszentmihalyi's model of flow to more specifically describe flow in sport
7 environments" (p.85).

8 **Method**

9 The problem noted in the previous section – that of uncritical deductive coding,
10 where researchers 'shoehorn' data into the established flow framework – is not
11 uncommon in the social sciences. In their classic text, *The Discovery of Grounded*
12 *Theory*, Glaser and Strauss (1967) suggested that, whilst researchers necessarily
13 have 'theoretical sensitivity' in a subject area, they should work hard to generate
14 theory that *fits* the data; that *works* for, and is *relevant* to, the research participants.
15 This research was therefore conducted in the *spirit* of grounded theory, though for
16 reasons explained below, we were unable to apply all the methodological procedures
17 prescribed by some commentators (cf. Weed 2009 and Holt & Tamminen 2010).
18 Rather, we recognise that the application of grounded theory remains
19 philosophically problematic (Thomas & James 2006) and, following Piggott (2010),
20 applied a critical version of the method, including inductive (or open) coding,
21 iterative analysis and theoretical sampling. The sample was therefore selected for
22 theoretical reasons, whereby elite professional golfers – those who are most likely to
23 experience flow and able to articulate such experiences with intensity and clarity –
24 were sought.

1 ***Sample***

2 The participants were 10 white male professional golfers from England ($N = 6$), the
3 Republic of Ireland ($N = 2$), Scotland ($N = 1$), and Belgium ($N = 1$). These players,
4 either currently ($N = 7$) or previously ($N = 3$), held a European Tour card (i.e.,
5 played full-time on the European Tour) for at least one full season (range = 1-24
6 seasons; Mean = 10.7; $SD = 7.5$). The European Tour is the flagship professional
7 golf tour in Europe and one of the major golf tours worldwide, involving world-class
8 playing standards and the highest level of competition. The mean age of the sample
9 was 37 years, ranging from 23 to 58 ($SD = 13.08$). Five players had won
10 tournaments on the European Tour ($N = 10$); three had won on the Challenge Tour
11 ($N = 4$); and two players had won tournaments on the Senior Tour ($N = 31$)². Six of
12 the sample had career-best world ranking positions inside the top 120 (range = 18-
13 116), nine had competed in Major championships, and two had represented Europe
14 in the Ryder Cup on a total of four occasions. These participants were sampled
15 because the authors had more access to European Tour players than members of the
16 Ladies' European Tour (see below).

17 ***Procedure***

18 Ethical approval for the study was granted by the school and faculty ethics
19 committees at a British university. Due to the difficulty in gaining access to players
20 at this level, who are often in the country for short periods with busy schedules, the
21 sampling strategy was practical as well as theoretical. However, as the research
22 progressed, the nature of the interviews changed in order the 'test' the emerging
23 substantive theory. The participants were contacted sequentially, through a range of

² The Challenge Tour is a European-based professional tour used as a training ground for promotion to the European Tour (i.e., the second "tier" in Europe); and the Senior Tour is the primary European-based professional golf tour for competitors over 50.

1 gatekeepers (Saunders, 2006). Initially, players were contacted through personal
2 connections of the first author (e.g., members at golf clubs where participants were
3 based; $N = 5$) and later through contact with a sport psychologist (the fifth author; N
4 $= 2$) and a management agency which was contacted via email ($N = 1$). The two
5 remaining participants were approached by the first author either before (e.g., in the
6 clubhouse during practice days) or after tournaments which he attended. This author
7 was sensitised to the game of golf through a number of years' experience at a
8 relatively high amateur standard which helped him approach these players and
9 develop rapport (e.g., by being familiar with their terminology and understanding
10 their etiquette).

11 All interviews were organised at a time and place that was convenient for the
12 players (most of which took place in clubhouses in the UK). Five interviews were
13 conducted at tournaments, either before the tournament began (i.e., before or after
14 the players practiced; $N = 4$) or during the tournament ($N = 1$; after the first
15 competitive round). The remaining five interviews took place away from a
16 tournament setting. All participants provided written consent after the researcher
17 explained the purpose of the study, and data were collected until theoretical
18 saturation was deemed to have occurred (Coté *et al.* 1993). The interviews were
19 conducted face to face and digitally recorded, while brief notes were also taken
20 during. The interviews lasted 53 minutes on average, and were later transcribed
21 verbatim.

22 ***Interview Guide***

23 *Development of Interview Guide*

24 An interview guide was developed based on details provided by previous studies
25 (e.g., Chavez, 2008; Jackson, 1996), and addressing key issues which emerged from

1 a recent review (Author 1 *et al.* 2012a). A semi-structured, open-ended approach
2 was adopted to allow the interviewee to elaborate and develop areas of perceived
3 importance, while also using specific probing questions where necessary to gain
4 further data (e.g., Sparkes & Smith 2013). While following a general guide, a
5 conversational and open-ended approach was adopted by the interviewer (i.e., first
6 author) to develop rapport and allow new themes and discussions to emerge (cf.
7 Potter & Hepburn 2005). Before the interview began, the participants were
8 encouraged to challenge and clarify any assumptions or terminology used by the
9 interviewer which did not correspond to their experiences.

10 *Interview Questions*

11 Previous studies have reported that the majority of their samples have not been
12 familiar with the term *flow*, and what being in flow means (e.g., Jackson 1992). To
13 ensure that the participants understood which specific states they were being asked
14 to describe, and to use terminology they could most easily relate to, they were first
15 asked if they were familiar with the term *flow*. If not, other terms were used which
16 researchers have previously employed interchangeably with flow, such as ‘flowing’,
17 being in ‘the zone’, or in ‘the groove’ (Jackson 1992, 1996; Jackson &
18 Csikszentmihalyi 1999; Young 2000) and they were encouraged to use the
19 terminology which they were most familiar with. The participants were then asked
20 to provide one example of such a state which stood out in their memory, and the
21 interviewer then judged whether or not this was flow (as defined by the research
22 team) based on their descriptions. Some players used their own terms (e.g., “the
23 bubble”), but all descriptions were judged to refer to flow.

24 A series of questions were then asked regarding what the flow experience is
25 like (see Appendix 1). The open-ended approach meant that these standard questions

1 were used to guide all interviews, but other themes and discussions were also
2 allowed to emerge and were incorporated into later interview guides. Consistent
3 probes were used to develop deeper understanding and to encourage participants to
4 elaborate (e.g., Author 2 *et al.* 2011), including “can you tell me a bit more about
5 that?” and “can you explain what you mean?”

6 *Pilot Study*

7 The guide was piloted with two elite golfers: one competed professionally on the
8 Challenge Tour, and the other represented England at elite amateur level and had
9 competed in The Open Championship. The pilot study led to changes in the
10 sequencing of questions, and the use of more specific and direct probes (above) in
11 order to follow up areas of interest that emerged. The pilot data were not included in
12 the subsequent study as the participants were not European Tour players.

13 *Analysis*

14 A research team (made up of the first four authors) was used to guide the analysis
15 process. The first author, who conducted the interviews, was most familiar with the
16 data and enhanced this through a process of “in-dwelling” by reading and re-reading
17 the transcripts (e.g., Maytuk & Morehouse 1994; Author 2 *et al.* 2011). The data
18 were then stored in, and analysed with the assistance of NVivo 8 qualitative data
19 analysis software (QSR, 2008), and a process similar to that set out by Braun and
20 Clarke (2006) was followed. First, initial *codes* were identified within the data,
21 before they were inductively sorted and combined into *higher-order themes*. The
22 same process was followed in sorting these themes into substantive *categories*,
23 which described the experience of flow for these participants. The other members of
24 the team were provided with the transcripts periodically throughout the study, which

1 offered a broader perspective on the data, as well as critical evaluation of the main
2 analyst's interpretations during peer debriefing (see below).

3 Consistent with the constant comparison process in grounded theory, codes,
4 themes and categories were identified as the analysis progressed *without* trying to fit
5 the data into the existing flow framework. Whilst 'purely inductive' analysis on a
6 phenomenon such as flow is arguably impossible – that “researchers cannot free
7 themselves of their theoretical and epistemological commitments, and data are not
8 coded in an epistemological vacuum” (Braun & Clarke 2006 p.84) – we deliberately
9 sought to prevent or delay pre-existing ideas about flow (and its dimensions) from
10 ‘steering’ coding decisions. In the spirit of Glaser and Strauss (1967) we aimed to
11 avoid ‘shoe-horning’ data into the nine dimensions of flow, and instead to identify
12 themes and categories that emerged inductively, which could then be compared to
13 Csikszentmihalyi's framework. Finally, we note that the participants were assigned
14 randomly-chosen pseudonyms, to which they are referred below.

15 ***Establishing Trustworthiness***

16 The term *trustworthiness* has been used by qualitative researchers to describe methods
17 used to ensure quality in their work (e.g., Harrison *et al.* 2001; Sparkes & Smith 2009,
18 2014), and a number of steps were taken to establish trustworthiness in this study.

19 *Peer debrief* was conducted throughout, between the first author (i.e., lead
20 investigator) and the second, third, and fourth authors who provided on-going
21 guidance on the research process, critical evaluation of the data, and challenged the
22 researcher's assumptions (Creswell & Miller 2000). This process took place through
23 regular formal meetings between the research team, and informal discussions with
24 each member individually. In keeping with the grounded theory-inspired approach
25 (Piggott, 2010), the purpose of the meetings was to aid the constant comparative

1 process and ensure *fit* and *relevance* (Glaser 1978). For example, a number of
2 conversations debated the best way of coding themes, as well as the most suitable
3 labels for these themes (i.e., did the label accurately reflect the content?).

4 While peer debrief was concerned primarily with the on-going *process* of
5 collecting and analysing the data, “critical friends” were asked to critique and
6 provide feedback about the *results* of these processes (Smith & Caddick 2012). First,
7 engaging in dialogue with the participants was seen as an opportunity for
8 elaboration, affirmation, and disagreement, in order to enhance credibility. This
9 dialogue centred on the fairness, appropriateness, and believability of the
10 researchers’ interpretations of the data and analysis (Smith & Caddick 2012). For
11 example, the participants were asked if the themes and categories made sense, and
12 whether the overall account was realistic and resonant with their experiences. Due
13 to the difficulty in gaining access to these players (see above), this process took
14 place by returning the transcripts and a copy of the results to the interviewees via
15 email. This process did not suggest any modifications to the results or analysis, and
16 participants expressed strong agreement with the findings.

17 Second, the fifth author was not involved in the analysis so that he could
18 offer a more independent and critical evaluation of the results, with the aim of
19 enhancing transparency and trustworthiness. This author had extensive experience
20 working as a sport psychologist with professional golfers, and was therefore asked to
21 comment critically on the findings in relation to his knowledge and experience of
22 elite golf. Only minor amendments were suggested (e.g., inconsistencies in labelling
23 of certain themes).

24 **Results**

25 ***The Experience of Flow in European Tour Golfers***

1 The primary purpose of this study was to explore qualitatively perceptions regarding
2 the experience of flow in European Tour golfers. Table 2 presents each of the
3 categories identified, in terms of their higher-order themes and raw data codes. Each
4 category is then discussed below in terms of its higher-order themes (in italics),
5 while also using direct quotes from the raw data to illustrate.

6 **[Table 2 near here]**

7 *Altered Cognitive and Kinaesthetic Perceptions*

8 This category was reported by all players, and the most common theme was
9 *visualising well* while in flow:

10 I remember being at The Open...standing on the 17th tee...seeing the flight of
11 the ball...I saw it in the air, I saw where it was going to land, I saw where it
12 was going to finish. And I kept replaying that picture in my head. When I
13 (hit) the shot...looked up, and the ball was on a washing line just exactly
14 where I wanted it to go (Jason).

15 Some golfers reported differences in their visual perception during flow compared to
16 normal, including *magnified visual clarity* which involved seeing the ball-flight in
17 the air with greater clarity, or seeing the hole “like a bucket”. *Visual narrowing* also
18 described a tunnel vision or “blinkers” effect: “when you’re in free-flow...all you see
19 is the flag...definitely your focus zooms in on things a lot more, you don’t see the
20 outer things like crowds” (Sam). The golfers referred to *altered perception of time*,
21 whereby the whole experience either went by quickly or slowed down, with some
22 reporting that their swing felt like it was in slow-motion during flow. A further
23 cognitive theme was *loss of memory*, whereby some players did not remember
24 hitting certain shots or had difficulty remembering their performance after flow.

1 Some players noticed a *sense of lightness*, in terms of the club feeling lighter, and
2 feeling physically lighter during flow (e.g., light on their feet). Players also reported
3 that they *feel enhanced physically* during flow: “You do feel as though you’re
4 bigger...you’re stronger, you’re fitter, you’re quicker” (John). Other themes included
5 *feeling calm* or *relaxed* during the experience, while others reported feeling
6 *adrenaline* and being energised. In some cases, flow involved both of these themes
7 at once: “I felt very, very calm even coming down the stretch...your adrenaline’s
8 pumping but you’re still quite calm” (Rory). As such, flow for these players was
9 perceived to involve an individualised, optimal level of physiological activation.

10 *Awareness and Management of Flow*

11 This category was reported by seven participants, describing themes including
12 *awareness of playing well without analysing the situation*: “You’re aware that you’re
13 having a better day than normal, but it’s only an awareness. It’s not a specific of:
14 “how well am I doing?” It feels good, that’s enough...I don’t need to know any
15 more” (Jason). These players emphasised that although they were aware something
16 positive was happening, they consciously wanted to avoid recognising just *how well*
17 they were doing: “it’s a mental decision not to try and find out what the score is...just
18 so that you’re hitting every shot exactly the same” (John). The players also reported
19 wanting to *maximise flow* in terms of their performance and its duration: “The main
20 thing is that once it hits you, you just want to squeeze it until the last hole, you want
21 to make it last...You want to maximise it...if you’re on a roll you’ve really got to
22 capitalise on it” (Chris). These themes suggest an awareness of being in flow, and
23 even the possibility that the players could *manage* their flow states as the experience
24 was happening: “I remember at The Open...being absolutely in the zone...and I was
25 determined to finish (the round) in the zone” (Jason).

1 *Enhanced Intrinsic Motivation*

2 Enhanced intrinsic motivation, discussed by all participants, was experienced during
3 and after the performance. During the performance it was characterised by a
4 continuous *desire to keep shooting lower*: “when I get to six under I want to get to
5 seven, when I get to seven under I want to get to eight, I want to keep going up”
6 (Luke). Similarly, the players experienced a moment-by-moment eagerness or
7 excitement to carry on the performance in that they *don’t want the performance to*
8 *end*, and *can’t wait to play the next shot*. Intrinsic motivation also remained after the
9 performance as some players *can’t wait to play again*, e.g., in the next tournament.
10 *Increased motivation* reflected the players’ heightened motivation or determination
11 to raise their game, or get more out of themselves, in response to a challenging
12 situation: “(I’m) motivated...very up for it...my intensity is increased” (Luke).

13 *Enjoyment and Intrinsic Rewards*

14 This theme was discussed by all participants, and typically referred to *enjoying the*
15 *experience* and *having fun*. *Intrinsic rewards* were also reported, describing the
16 positive affective or emotional outcomes of flow, i.e., they were noticed or
17 experienced after flow had occurred. These remained with the player for a period of
18 time after the performance, and could lead into following performances as a very
19 positive influence, as Mark suggested: “That experience...gave me so much
20 confidence that I went on from that and I was nearly unbeatable for a while. I mean it
21 was wonderful”. *Intrinsic rewards* also referred to other positive outcomes of flow
22 that the participants experienced, including pride, satisfaction, and a sense of
23 accomplishment: “It’s something that everyone kind of strives to get, and when
24 you’re in that situation...you just love it. There’s no better place to be” (Adam).

1 *Confidence*

2 Flow was described by all participants as a time of heightened confidence. This
3 component could develop during the performance, described by the themes
4 *confidence in what you are doing, confidence/trust in technique, and*
5 *trust/commitment to the shot:*

6 You can see the flag, and it doesn't matter where it is on the green; it doesn't
7 even concern you that there's water twelve feet to the right...You see the shot
8 that you want to hit, you can see the flight of the ball, and you know that
9 you're going to hit it exactly down that line (Jason).

10 Other themes described *knowing that a putt would go in* or more broadly, *knowing*
11 *that the performance was going to go well*: "I went to (a tournament) feeling really,
12 really good about my game. And literally from the first wedge that I hit on the
13 practice ground...I knew I was going to have a good week. It just felt right" (Jason).

14 *Perceived Challenge*

15 This category was reported by all players, and included the themes *playing in more*
16 *important tournaments, and challenging situations*, e.g., being in contention to win.
17 Such situations "certainly increase the intensity...I've always performed well in the
18 Ryder Cup situation for example" (Luke); and "(you) feel as if you are in the zone
19 more if you are playing with one of the bigger players maybe and with bigger
20 crowds around you" (Adam).

21 *Automaticity*

22 Automaticity was reported by all participants, referring to performing on autopilot,
23 instinctively, and requiring little or no conscious effort. This *automatic processing*

1 was described in relation to decision making (e.g., shot selection and reading
2 greens), and executing technique automatically:

3 The putt that I holed to win the Ryder Cup...was very much in the zone...It
4 was all instinctive...At that moment in time...the only thing in the world for
5 me was the ball and getting it in the hole, there was nothing else (Luke).

6 Similar was the perception of *ease/effortlessness* in the performance: “It’s just very
7 effortless, you don’t have to think about anything at all...everything just seems very
8 easy...I guess subconsciously everything comes to you without even having to look
9 for it” (Chris). *Performing without analytical thought* was also important: “when
10 you’re in the zone you’re pretty much thinking of nothing...your thoughts are clear”
11 (John); while Michael expanded: “I’ve always got a really empty mind, like it’s
12 really, really empty....so I’ll walk (with my) eyes on the horizon...not really
13 thinking about anything.”

14 *Absence of Negative Thought*

15 This category encompassed themes of *absence of worry, fear, pressure, or*
16 *expectation*, which were reported by all players. These doubting or negative
17 cognitions are commonplace during normal performance, but their absence signified
18 one of the positive elements of the flow state: “I’m not worried about anything...If I
19 hit a bad shot I don’t think ‘Oh God, what’s happened there?’...When you’ve got
20 that good feeling nothing worries you...one little bit” (Mark).

21 *Absorption*

22 Absorption was discussed by eight participants in terms of the becoming immersed
23 in the performance. Some golfers discussed *losing track of the score*, or what *stage*
24 *of the round* they were at, and *not noticing what was going on around them*: “You

1 don't know what the other guy's shot; you don't know whether he's playing good
2 (or) bad... You don't hear him swear, you don't see him throw a club...you're in
3 your own world" (Jason). Others discussed being *immersed in the performance*, as
4 Charlie described: "It was probably the most in tune to the golf course I've ever
5 got...I was just able to feel the course (and) get the pace of the greens right,
6 understand the wind, get the clubbing right."

7 *Positive Feedback about Performance*

8 This category described feedback regarding the progression of their round, and was
9 reported by all participants. This could be rather broad (e.g., in relation to their
10 objectives within the performance), for example: "you know things are happening
11 positively and correctly" (Charlie). Similar themes included *feeling comfortable with*
12 *how the performance is going* (e.g., the player being happy with the shots they are
13 hitting), and a perception of *everything falling into place*. The players also reported
14 more specific *feedback about technique* during flow, illustrated by quotes such as
15 "I'm very clued in to my technique, I'm very aware of my technique, and my
16 technique is easy" (Luke) and "my swing was just there, it was in the right place"
17 (Jason).

18 *Heightened Concentration*

19 Heightened concentration during flow was identified by all golfers, and included
20 themes of *focus on the next shot*, *focusing on the target*, *focus on the task at hand*,
21 and *staying focused*. This category was described as: "focusing purely on what
22 you're doing...what shot you're trying to hit, where you're trying to leave it, and
23 basically...your mind's full with just the task in hand" (Rory). Similarly, Michael

1 described how: “it’s just this point in time that you’re at, with this one shot in front
2 of you, that’s all you’re concentrating on.”

3 *Perceptions of Control*

4 This category referred to a subjective perception of being in charge or in control,
5 either of their *game, the situation, or themselves*, illustrated by quotes such as:
6 “You’re in control of your emotions, you’re almost in control of what’s
7 happening...It’s almost like you can bend the ball in the air with your eyes it’s that
8 strong” (Charlie); and “Once you hit [the ball] you know where it’s going to land
9 almost” (John). This perception was referred to by all ten golfers.

10 *Performance Objectives*

11 Performance objectives were discussed by seven golfers and referred to aims or
12 goals that directed the players’ actions during flow. Themes included *general*
13 *objectives* such as trying to win the tournament, and more specific *situational*
14 *objectives*:

15 I haven’t missed a cut...in the last...13 or 14 events...and I think of those 13
16 or 14 I’ve had to birdie the last (hole) five or six times...My old caddy used to
17 tell me that when I *have* to do something I tend to go and do it, and I suppose
18 that is being...in the zone (Michael).

19 Finally, the players reported that they selected much more *specific targets* than
20 normal for each shot when in flow.

21 *Observable Characteristics of Flow*

22 Additionally, some players reported they could observe others (e.g., playing
23 partners) in flow, essentially suggesting that flow states are observable. All
24 participants explicitly suggested this idea, reflected by quotes such as “you can see

1 it; you can definitely see it” (Charlie); “if someone was in the zone you’d definitely
2 be able to tell” (Michael); and “I can see who’s in it and who’s not, it’s pretty
3 obvious” (Chris). Seven categories described this idea, which Table 3 presents in
4 terms of their raw data codes and higher-order themes.

5 **[Table 3 near here]**

6 Some players noticed *quietness* in other golfers in flow: “It’s the quietness
7 about the way they go about their business. It’s kind of peaceful and
8 efficient...there’s no real idle chat...because they’re totally into what they’re doing”
9 (Charlie). They could also identify flow through *body language*, and noticed that
10 players in flow were better at *dealing with distractions or bad shots*: “If they miss a
11 shot there’s no anxiety or pulling away...it’s done in a very calm way...there’s no
12 wincing if they miss a putt, it’s all calm, quiet” (Luke). *Speed of play* either
13 increased or there was no rush in anything the other player was doing, as well as
14 showing *good rhythm* in their play (e.g., in their swing). Others recognised flow
15 through the player’s *facial expression*: “You see it in someone’s eyes when they’re
16 in that zone, they’ve got...this look in their eyes when they’re completely focused on
17 what they’re trying to do” (Sam). Finally, these players recognised flow through
18 enhanced *quality of play*:

19 I played with (a certain player and) I knew he was going to win. Absolutely,
20 100%...His game was good; he was quite light hearted on the golf course
21 whereas normally he was quite an intense guy... He was striking the ball
22 nicely, he had a good rhythm, his...conversation was calm, his head was
23 calm, he didn’t over react when he hit a poor shot, he was just in a happy

1 place, and you just sensed “this guy’s going to win”...And sure enough he
2 went on and won (Jason).

3 **Discussion**

4 The aim of this study was to explore qualitatively perceptions regarding the
5 experience of flow in European Tour golfers. Inductive analysis was employed
6 which aimed to allow themes to emerge from the data that could *then* be compared to
7 the existing flow dimensions. The following section discusses these findings in
8 relation to Csikszentmihalyi’s nine dimensions and previous research on flow in
9 sport to arrive at a clearer conceptual understanding of this state within elite golf.

10 ***Extending the Original Flow Dimensions***

11 In comparison to Csikszentmihalyi’s dimensions, some categories identified
12 inductively in this study were not clearly accounted for, meaning that they may
13 *extend* the flow framework within elite golf.

14 ***Altered Cognitive and Kinaesthetic Perceptions***

15 Even in the first study on flow, Csikszentmihalyi (1975) recognised that: “In some
16 flow activities, perhaps in most, one becomes more intensely aware of internal
17 processes...(For example) climbers report a great increase in kinaesthetic sensations,
18 a sudden increase in ordinarily unconscious muscular movements” (p.43). Later
19 research also suggested that “awareness of the body and its movements is often
20 highlighted in flow” (Jackson & Csikszentmihalyi 1999, p.67). However no
21 dimension has been included in Csikszentmihalyi’s conceptualisation to represent
22 such sensations. The kinaesthetic perceptions reported in this study appear to be
23 similar to the “body sensations” reported by Chavez (2008) and Bernier *et al.* (2009).
24 Instead of describing actual physical symptoms like tingling feelings, however, this

1 data referred to altered *perceptions* of the body, similar to literature on physical
2 embodiment (cf. Spinney, 2006). One of these perceptions was a *sense of lightness*,
3 which was reported as a raw data theme by Jackson (1996) and Sugiyama and
4 Inomata (2005) but coded into action-awareness merging and unambiguous feedback
5 respectively. Jackson (1996) also reported a higher-order theme of “feel strong”
6 which was coded into autotelic experience, while Jackson and Csikszentmihalyi
7 (1999) suggested that “floating”, “weightlessness” and “feeling strong” were terms
8 that athletes used to describe flow (p.12). Data similar to feeling calm and/or
9 energised were reported previously, including: “totally relaxed” (Jackson 1996;
10 Sugiyama & Inomata 2005); and “endless supply of energy” (Jackson 1996). It
11 seems that researchers have identified similar data regarding athletes’ physical
12 perceptions during flow, but these have been subsumed into other dimensions during
13 the deductive coding process. Hence this new dimension may be warranted to
14 account for these perceptions more specifically within elite golf, and possibly
15 beyond.

16 Altered cognitive and kinaesthetic perceptions also encompassed altered
17 cognitive perceptions, including Csikszentmihalyi’s dimension *transformation of*
18 *time*, as well as *loss of memory*, *visualising well* during flow, *visual narrowing*, and
19 *magnified visual perceptions*. Some similar lower-order themes have been reported
20 previously, including the theme “do not remember how movement was done,” “take
21 off board seemed larger”, “distance seemed to be short” (Sugiyama & Inomata
22 2005), and “heightened visual perception” (Chavez 2008). Again, this suggests that
23 an expanded dimension of altered cognitive and physical perception is warranted in
24 better conceptualising the experience of flow in elite golf. Indeed, this dimension is

1 likely to also be relevant in other sports as similar themes have been reported by
2 Chavez (2005) and Sugiyama and Inomata (2005).

3 *Awareness and Management of Flow*

4 Flow is generally understood to be a state which individuals only realise they were in
5 afterwards, as “a person in flow...is aware of his actions but not the awareness
6 itself...For flow to be maintained, one cannot reflect on the act of awareness...The
7 person is too involved with the experience to reflect on it” (Csikszentmihalyi 1975,
8 p.38-47). However these golfers identified that, in some cases, they *were* aware of
9 being in flow as it occurred. Some participants even alluded to managing their flow
10 states, including the theme *maximising flow*, which seems to be a novel idea to flow
11 research. Once they were aware that something positive was happening, these
12 participants reported wanting to make it last as long as they could, and to capitalise
13 in terms of their performance. These ideas seem to contradict fundamental
14 understanding of the nature of flow. This could result from the self-paced, stop-start
15 nature of golf which affords players time for reflection between shots (e.g., Singer
16 2002), compared to faster sports (e.g., soccer, basketball) in which there may not be
17 such time to dwell on the experience. Therefore, in golf at least, an extra dimension
18 that describes this awareness appears to be warranted within the conceptualisation of
19 flow.

20 *Refining the Original Flow Dimensions*

21 In comparison to Csikszentmihalyi’s dimensions, some categories identified
22 inductively here may provide *refined* detail and clearer coding, description, and even
23 definition of certain aspects of the flow experience in elite golf.

24 *Action-Awareness Merging*

1 The categories *automaticity* and *absorption* could be compared to action-awareness
2 merging, defined as when “one becomes so involved in what one is doing that the
3 activity becomes spontaneous, almost automatic” (Jackson 1996, p.81). Indeed, it is
4 this process of effortless performance “that eventually produces total absorption, or
5 the merging of action and awareness” (Jackson & Csikszentmihalyi 1999, p.19).
6 This total absorption is therefore represented by the corresponding category, while
7 the “effortless”, “spontaneous”, “automatic” performance is represented here by
8 *automaticity*. Furthermore, automaticity (e.g., Logan 1997) and absorption (e.g.,
9 Tellegen & Atkinson 1974) have both been studied as psychological phenomena
10 previously, and accounting for these concepts more explicitly could add detail and
11 clearer definition of this flow dimension in golf.

12 *Loss of Self-Consciousness*

13 The category *absence of negative thoughts* identified here could account for the
14 dimension loss of self-consciousness, which describes how “concern for the self
15 disappears when one is in flow, as do worries or negative thoughts. There is simply
16 no attention left over to worry” (Jackson & Csikszentmihalyi 1999, p.27).
17 Previously, coding of loss of self-consciousness has (possibly incorrectly) included
18 the instinctive, automatic, subconscious performance (e.g., Jackson 1996; Sugiyama
19 & Inomata 2005; see Jackson & Csikszentmihalyi 1999, p.27). Those themes were
20 included within *automaticity* in this study (discussed above), meaning that the
21 present coding could more clearly describe these aspects of flow within elite golf as
22 well as avoiding certain overlaps apparent between previous coding into the original
23 dimensions.

24 *Challenge-Skill Balance*

1 These golfers described flow as a time of *confidence* and *perceived challenge*, and
2 together these categories could be compared to challenge-skill balance. The
3 challenge aspect of this balance seems to equate to the appraisal of a potentially
4 stressful situation to be challenging (see Peifer 2012). Furthermore, Lazarus and
5 Folkman (1986) proposed that in order to make such an appraisal, self-efficacy must
6 be present in the first place, i.e., confidence in ability to meet demands. Therefore
7 this broad dimension could be compared to the two sub-categories *perceived*
8 *challenge* and *confidence*. Indeed, adding this detail could more clearly account for
9 the concept of *confidence* within the flow framework, which has previously been
10 discussed by researchers under challenge-skill balance, clear goals, *and* sense of
11 control (see The Experience of Flow in Sport, above). This situational perception of
12 heightened confidence also overlaps with the concept of competence within the self-
13 determination theory (e.g., Deci & Ryan, 1985).

14 *Autotelic Experience and Motivation*

15 The categories *enjoyment and intrinsic rewards* and *enhanced intrinsic motivation*
16 could be compared to autotelic experience. Within the second of these categories,
17 *increased motivation* emerged as a higher-order theme whereby the participants
18 reported that they felt more motivated *during* the flow experience. While no similar
19 themes have been found within empirical studies of how flow is *experienced* in
20 sport, numerous studies consider optimal motivation to be a factor which facilitates
21 or helps flow to *occur* (Chavez, 2008; Jackson 1992, 1995; Sugiyama & Inomata
22 2005; Young, 2000). Therefore increased motivation could be a golf-specific aspect
23 of the flow experience, possibly due to the time afforded in this sport for reflection.
24 Taking this into consideration, the name used here for this dimension incorporates,
25 more explicitly, the concept of *motivation*.

1 *Similar Categories*

2 Four other categories appeared to be broadly similar to corresponding flow
3 dimensions: *heightened concentration* (concentration on the task at hand);
4 *perceptions of control* (sense of control); *performance objectives* (clear goals); and
5 *positive feedback about the performance* (unambiguous feedback). However some
6 coding of lower-order themes are different to previous studies, possibly due to the
7 different analytic approach employed. Perceptions of control did not include themes
8 of confidence or feeling relaxed (as coded by Jackson 1996; Sugiyama & Inomata
9 2005); instead these were represented by their own categories. Performance
10 objectives included similar themes to previous studies (e.g., “knowing exactly what
11 going to do” [Jackson 1996]) but did not encompass “know as or before begin (sic)
12 that going to be great/successful” (Jackson 1996). Instead, themes similar to this
13 were coded here as confidence (e.g., knowing the performance would go well).
14 Similar themes to unambiguous feedback were identified, including knowing that the
15 performance is going well, or like everything clicks (Jackson 1996). However it did
16 not include “Body was light and there was not very much lactic acid” (Sugiyama &
17 Inomata 2005, p.981) which seemed to refer more to altered kinaesthetic perceptions
18 (discussed below). Therefore clearer detail may be provided by the coding of lower-
19 order themes in this study.

20 *Summary of Comparison to Csikszentmihalyi’s Dimensions*

21 Athletes’ reliance on the use of their body, particularly in golf at the elite level, could
22 explain why these participants considered feelings of *calmness and/or energy*, and
23 *altered kinaesthetic perceptions* to be characteristics of flow. Similar lower-order
24 themes have been reported in other sports previously (see The Experience of Flow in
25 Sport, above), but have not been formulated into new dimensions, and therefore both

1 of these themes may also be relevant beyond golf. *Awareness and management of*
2 *flow* appears to be unique to this sample of golfers in comparison to previous
3 research thus far, but may be typical of self-paced activities (e.g., long-distance
4 walking; Author 2 *et al.* 2011) or endurance activities. Table 4 below summarises the
5 categories identified in this study compared to Csikszentmihalyi's dimensions. These
6 comparisons could therefore be initial suggestions as to how the flow model might
7 be refined to better represent golf, and possibly even other sporting environments, in
8 response to Jackson's (1996) call.

9 **[Table 4 near here]**

10 ***Additional Findings***

11 A final notable finding was that flow could be an observable state. These players
12 reported being aware of when their playing partners were experiencing flow (e.g.,
13 through changes in behaviour such as playing faster, reacting calmly to bad shots,
14 and confident body language). Generally, in sport the possibility of observing flow
15 could be relevant in coaching, in terms of knowing when to give advice, and when to
16 avoid talking to, and possibly distracting, the athlete. This idea could also be relevant
17 to practitioners (e.g., sport psychologists). Specifically within golf, it may be
18 especially relevant for caddies who are in closest contact with the players during
19 performance. In terms of applied recommendations, paradoxically it may be
20 important for golfers to develop 'coping' skills for when the performance is going
21 particularly well, and to help them maximise flow. For example, being able to 'stay
22 out of their own way' could be important, particularly to perform well in pressure
23 situations such as the final holes in tournaments, and avoid choking.

24 ***Limitations and Recommendations for Future Research***

1 As with any study, there are limitations. The findings presented in this study describe
2 the experiences of a very specific sample of 10 elite golfers, meaning that these
3 findings cannot be generalised to any broader population. Future studies exploring
4 flow in different levels of expertise (e.g., recreational golfers), other self-paced
5 sports, or different types of sport (e.g., team or externally-paced sports) would
6 certainly add to these findings. In particular, a study with full-time members of the
7 Ladies' European Tour could explore any gender differences in the experience of
8 flow in elite golf. Single rather than repeat interviews were used, and it would have
9 been valuable to conduct follow-up, member-checking interviews with these
10 participants to critically evaluate the findings (rather than conducting this process via
11 email). While we present our interpretations of the data, others could have coded
12 them differently and may have arrived at alternative conclusions. The emergent
13 themes which extend the flow framework could be tested and verified in other
14 sporting contexts. Future studies could seek to address specific issues such as
15 whether athletes in other sports are aware of being in flow as it occurs, and whether
16 the 'extending' categories identified here are relevant (i.e., if the flow framework can
17 be refined) beyond golf. Such research could begin with a larger-scale qualitative
18 study essentially replicating Jackson's (1996) work, but with the advance in
19 understanding since then, it is arguably more useful to employ more critical
20 inductive or abductive analysis (e.g., Meyer & Lunney 2013) instead.

21 Future research could also explore whether it is possible to observe athletes
22 in flow. For example, observations may be a useful avenue for flow research, e.g., by
23 searching for athletes in flow to interview soon after performance, reducing the
24 extent to which interviews are reliant on memory of experiences up to years in the
25 past - a regular criticism of this method (e.g., Jackson & Kimiecik 2008).

1 Alternatively, interviews could investigate whether coaches or practitioners notice
2 differences in their athletes' behaviour during certain (e.g., challenging) situations, to
3 build clearer ideas of what the observable characteristics of flow may be.

4 **References**

5 Note: Three references have been removed to preserve the authors' anonymity

6 Bär, K-J., and Markser, V. Z. 2013. Sport specificity of mental disorders: the issue of
7 sport psychiatry. *European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*,
8 263, S2015-S2010.

9 Bernier, M., Thienot, E., Codron, R., and Fournier, J. 2009. Mindfulness and acceptance
10 approaches in sport performance. *Journal of Clinical Sports Psychology*, 4, 320-
11 333.

12 Braun, V. and Clarke, V. 2006. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative*
13 *Research in Psychology*, 3, 77-101.

14 Catley, D. and Duda, J. 1997. Psychological antecedents of the frequency and intensity
15 of flow in golfers. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 28, 309-322.

16 Chavez, E. 2008. Flow in sport: A study of college athletes. *Imagination, Cognition and*
17 *Personality*, 28, 69-91.

18 Cohn, P.J. 1991. An exploratory study on peak performance in golf. *The Sport*
19 *Psychologist*, 5, 1-14.

20 Coté, J., Samela, J.H., Baria, A., and Russell, S.J. 1993. Organizing and interpreting
21 unstructured qualitative data. *The Sports Psychologist*, 7, 127-137.

22 Creswell, J. and Miller, D. 2000. Determining validity in qualitative inquiry. *Theory into*
23 *Practice*, 39, 124-130.

24 Csikszentmihalyi, M. 1975. *Beyond boredom and anxiety*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

- 1 Csikszentmihalyi, M. 2002. *Flow: The classic work on how to achieve happiness*. 2nd
2 ed. London: Rider Books.
- 3 Deci, E., and Ryan, R. 1985. *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human*
4 *behavior*. New York, NY: Plenum Press.
- 5 Glaser, B. 1978. *Theoretical sensitivity: advances in the methodology of grounded*
6 *theory*. Mill Valley, CA: The Sociology Press.
- 7 Glaser, B., and Strauss, A. 1967. *The discovery of grounded theory*. Chicago, IL:
8 Aldine.
- 9 Harrison, J., MacGibbon, L., and Morton, M. 2001. Regimes of trustworthiness in
10 qualitative research: The rigors of reciprocity. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 7, 323-345.
- 11 Haworth, J. (1993). *Skills-challenge relationships and psychological well-being in*
12 *everyday life*. *Society & Leisure*, 16, 115-128.
- 13 Holt, N., and Tamminen, K. 2010. Improving grounded theory research in sport and
14 exercise psychology: further reflections as a response to Mike Weed. *Psychology*
15 *for Sport and Exercise*, 11, 405-413.
- 16 Hyde, K.F. 2000. Recognising deductive processes in qualitative research. *Qualitative*
17 *Market Research*, 3, 82-89.
- 18 Jackson, S. 1992. Athletes in flow: A qualitative investigation of flow states in elite
19 figure skaters. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 4, 161-180.
- 20 Jackson, S. 1996. Toward a conceptual understanding of the flow experience in elite
21 athletes. *Research Quarterly for Exercise & Sport*, 67, 76-90.
- 22 Jackson, S., and Csikszentmihalyi, M. 1999. *Flow in sports: The keys to optimal*
23 *experiences and performances*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

- 1 Jackson, S., & Kimiecik, J. 2008. Optimal experience in sport and exercise. *In*: T. Horn,
2 ed. *Advances in sport psychology* (3rd edition, pp.377-399). Champaign, IL:
3 Human Kinetics.
- 4 Jackson, S., and Roberts, G. 1992. Positive performance state of athletes: Towards a
5 conceptual understanding of peak performance. *Sport Psychologist*, 6, 156-171.
- 6 Jackson, S., Thomas, P., Marsh, H., and Smethurst, C. (2001). Relationships between
7 flow, self-concept, psychological skills, and performance. *Journal of Applied*
8 *Sport Psychology*, 13, 129-153.
- 9 Kowal, J., and Fortier, M. 1999. Motivational determinants of flow: Contributions
10 from self-determination theory. *Journal of Social Psychology*. 139, 355-368.
- 11 Lazarus, R.S. and Folkman, S. 1984. *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. New York: Springer.
- 12 Logan, G.D. 1997. Automaticity and reading: Perspectives from the instance theory
13 of automatization. *Reading & Writing Quarterly*, 13, 123-146.
- 14 Maykut, P. and Morehouse, R. 1994. *Beginning qualitative research: A philosophic*
15 *and practical guide*. London: Falmer Press.
- 16 Meyer, S.B. and Lunnay, B. 2013. The application of abductive and retroductive
17 inference for the design and analysis of theory-driven sociological
18 research. *Sociological Research Online*, 18, 1, 12.
- 19 Nakamura, J., and Csikszentmihalyi, M. 2002. The concept of flow. *In*: C.R. Snyder
20 and S.J. Lopez, eds. *Handbook of positive psychology* (pp.89-105). New
21 York: Oxford University Press.
- 22 Nicholls, A., Polman, R., and Holt, N. 2005. The effects of individualised imagery
23 interventions on golf performance and flow States. *Athletic Insight*, 7, 43-64.
- 24 Peifer, C. 2012. Psychophysiological correlates of flow-experience. *In*: S. Engeser,
25 ed. *Advances in flow research*. New York: Springer, 139-164.

- 1 Piggott, D. 2010. Listening to young people in leisure research: the critical application
2 of grounded theory. *Leisure Studies*, 29, 415-433.
- 3 Potter, J., and Hepburn, A. 2005. Qualitative interviews in psychology: problems and
4 possibilities. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 2, 281-307.
- 5 QSR International. NVivo 8. 2008. www.qsrinternational.com
- 6 Saunders, M. 2006. Gatekeeper. In V. Jupp, ed. *The Sage Dictionary of Social Science*
7 *Research Methods*. London: Sage.
- 8 Singer, R.N. 2002. Preperformance state, routines and automaticity: What does it
9 take to realise expertise in self-paced events? *Journal of Sport and Exercise*
10 *Psychology*, 24, 359-375.
- 11 Smith, B. & Caddick, N. 2012. Qualitative methods in sport: A concise overview for
12 guiding social scientific research. *Asia Pacific Journal of Sport and Social*
13 *Science*, 1, 60-73.
- 14 Smith, M.F. 2010. The role of physiology in the development of golf performance.
15 *Sports Medicine*, 40 (8), 635-655.
- 16 Sparkes, A.C., and Smith, B. 2009. Judging the quality of qualitative inquiry:
17 Criteriology and relativism in action. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 10, 491-
18 497.
- 19 Sparkes, A.C., and Smith, B. 2014. *Qualitative research methods in sport, exercise*
20 *and health*. Oxon: Routledge.
- 21 Spinney, J. 2006. A place of sense: A kinaesthetic ethnography of cyclists on Mont
22 Ventoux. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 24, 709-732.
- 23 Stein, G.L., Kimiecik, J.C., Daniels, J., and Jackson, S.A. 1995. Psychological
24 antecedents of flow in recreational sport. *Personality and Social Psychology*
25 *Bulletin*, 21, 125-135.

- 1 Sugiyama, T., and Inomata, K. 2005. Qualitative examination of flow experience among
2 top Japanese athletes. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 100, 969-982.
- 3 Tellegen, A., and Atkinson, G. 1974. Openness to absorbing and self-altering
4 experiences ("absorption"), a trait related to hypnotic susceptibility. *Journal of*
5 *Abnormal Psychology*, 83, 268-277.
- 6 Thomas, G., and James, D. 2006. Reinventing grounded theory: some questions about
7 theory, ground and discovery. *British Educational Research Journal*, 32, 767-
8 795.
- 9 Weed, M. 2009. Research quality considerations for grounded theory research in sport
10 and exercise psychology. *Psychology for Sport and Exercise*, 10, 502-510.
- 11 Young, J. A. 2000. Professional tennis players in the zone. In: S. J. Haake and A. Coe,
12 eds. *Tennis science and technology*. Malden, MA: Blackwell Science.
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

Tables

Table 1: Examples of deductive coding in previous studies

Higher-order theme	Study	Coding	Definition
Endless supply of energy Body feels great No pain Feel strong	1,2	Autotelic experience	(A)n autotelic experience...is one that is intrinsically rewarding, one that we choose to do for its own sake (Jackson & Csikszentmihalyi 1999, p30)
Totally relaxed	1,2	Sense of control	The flow experience is typically described as involving a sense of control – or, more precisely, as lacking the worry about losing control that is typical in many situations (Csikszentmihalyi 2002, p.59)
Sense of lightness Floating sensation	1,2	Action-awareness merging	(In flow) people become so involved in what they are doing that the activity becomes spontaneous, almost automatic; they stop being aware of themselves as separate from the actions they are performing (Csikszentmihalyi 2002, p. 52)
Body was light	2	Unambiguous feedback	Feedback describes the knowledge about performance that athletes receive, allowing for continuity in pursuit of their goals (Jackson & Csikszentmihalyi 1999, p.22)

Note: 1 = Jackson (1996); 2 = Sugiyama & Inomata (2005)

1 Table 2: Inductive analysis of European Tour golfers' experiences of flow, with
2 number of participants reporting each provided in brackets.

Example Raw Data Codes	Higher-Order Themes	Categories
Visualisation is easier and quicker; shots are easier to see See the ball rolling into the hole	Visualising well	Altered cognitive and kinaesthetic perceptions (10)
Your focus zooms in; you don't see much around you	Visual narrowing	
The ballflight in the air is clearer See imaginary line to the hole	Magnified visual perceptions	
Your swing is in slow motion; everything is in slow motion Time flies by a lot faster	Altered perceptions of time	
Always find it hard to remember being in flow Difficulty remembering specific shots and putts	Loss of memory	
Everything's lighter; the club feels lighter Feel physically lighter; light on your feet; light in your head	Sense of lightness	
Feel bigger, stronger, fitter, quicker Feel good physically; don't get physically tired	Feel enhanced physically	
Felt relaxed and calm; body feels calm It's a relaxed state of mind	Feeling calm/relaxed	
The adrenaline's pumping; you feel the adrenaline	Feel the adrenaline	
Aware that you're doing well but you're not clued in to the specifics - the awareness isn't specific or important You know you're doing good, sometimes you just lose count	Awareness of performing well without analysing the situation	Awareness of the situation (7)
You've got to try and capitalise on it/take advantage of it Try to make it last as long as it can	Maximising flow	
Try to push on and make another birdie Want to keep getting as under par as you can	Desire to keep shooting lower	Enhanced intrinsic motivation (10)
You don't want it to end; wish you could keep playing	Don't want it to end	
Can't wait to play the next shot	Can't wait to hit next shot	
Can't wait to get back out on the course again afterwards	Can't wait to play again	
Felt like I had to raise my game/get more out of myself My intensity is increased You're motivated and excited; feel more up for it	Increased motivation	
You're enjoying the whole process I'm enjoying what I'm doing at the time You're having fun out there; it's really good fun	Enjoying the experience Fun	Enjoyment and intrinsic rewards (10)
Wonderful/brilliant experience Some of the best times I've had on the golf course	Intrinsic rewards	
You have inner confidence and belief in huge amounts Very confident in the things you do; trust in what you do	Confidence in what you are doing	Confidence (10)
Confidence in technique Trust and commitment in how I'm swinging the club	Confidence in technique	
Trust in ability to hit the shot you want Confidence/belief in the shot you're about to take on	Trust/commitment to the shot	
You know you're going to hole it; can feel it's going to go in Have this feeling that you're going to do well	Knew the putt would go in Knowing the performance is going to go well	
Knew that I was going to do well/going to win		
When I'm in contention to win When it means something and the pressure builds	Challenging situations	Perceived challenge (10)
Playing in tournaments and bigger events Playing in Major championships or the Ryder Cup	Playing in more important tournaments	
Performing instinctively; it's like you're on autopilot Performing subconsciously	Automatic processing	Automaticity (10)
Don't think about technique; don't think about anything You've got an empty/quiet mind; there's no analysis	Performing without analytical thought	
Everything seems smooth/easy/effortless The game seems simpler; the club even feels easier to swing	Ease/effortless	

Not worried about missing, or where you hit it	Absence of worry	Absence of negative thought (10)
Not worried about the result or fellow competitors		
I'm not scared of missing putts or hitting any shot	Absence of fear	
You're not scared of winning		
Don't feel pressure, or put pressure on yourself	Absence of pressure or expectation	
Don't have expectation		Absorption (8)
Losing track of the score	Lose track of score/stage of round	
Lose track of where you are in the round		
You melt yourself into the shot	Absorbed in performance	
Forget/don't notice what's going on around you	Don't notice what's going on around you	
Don't hear distractions		Positive feedback about performance (10)
Things are going well - I knew I was doing all right	Positive feedback about progression of performance	
You feel better and better about your game	Feeling comfortable with how the performance is going	
Being in a comfort zone		
Feel happy/comfortable with what you're doing on the course	Everything falls into place	
Everything just comes into place; things are falling into line		Heightened concentration (10)
Everything flows	Feedback about technique	
Sense that the swing is in a good place and striking it well		
Good feeling in putting stroke; know you're putting well	Focusing on the target	
Concentrating on a specific target; focusing on the hole	Focus on the next shot	
Focusing solely on the next shot; taking one shot at a time	Focus on the task at hand	Perceptions of control (10)
You're completely focused on the task		
Focus is so much sharper/more acute	Heightened focus	
Your focus gets better; focus is multiplied		
Holding concentration; your mind doesn't wander off	Staying focused	
Control over physical and mental game	Control over your game	Performance objectives (7)
Control over the ball and your swing	Control over the situation	
You're in control of the situation/what you want to achieve	In control of yourself	
Totally in control of myself/ my thoughts and emotions	General objectives	
Try to win/beat all the other players; try to play the best I can	Situational objectives	
Knew the score that was leading in the clubhouse		
Knew I needed to make a birdie on the last hole	Selecting specific targets	
I pick smaller/more specific targets when I'm playing better		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 Table 3: Observable characteristics of flow reported by European Tour golfers, with
2 number or participants discussing each provided in brackets.

Example Raw Data Codes	Higher-Order Themes	Categories
For some people they get in it they don't speak, they're like robots	Less conversation	Quietness (7)
When they are in the zone there's normally not a lot of chat from them	Quietness	
There's a quietness and peaceful efficiency in what they're doing	—————→	Good rhythm (7)
Good rhythm in their swing		
Rhythm in walking between shots	Relaxed/confident body language	Body language (6)
Arrogance and confidence in the way they do things		
Walking taller and in a relaxed way; their body language is really calm.	Can tell by body language	
It's just the way they act; they act as though everything's easy		
You can tell by their demeanour. They carry themselves in a completely different way; they walk differently	Play faster	Speed of play (5)
They walk faster and play their shots quickly	No rush	
There's no rush to anything	—————→	Facial expression (4)
You can see it in their eyes, like a meanness that they want it so much		
You can tell by facial expression; very focused and straight-faced	—————→	Quality of play (3)
You can tell they're in flow by the quality of shots they're hitting		
They don't overreact to bad shots	Calm reaction to bad shots	Dealing with distractions or bad shots (2)
Didn't over react when he hit a poor shot, he was just in a happy place	Don't get distracted by anything	
They just don't get affected by anything going on around them.		
Once they get over the shot you know they're ready to hit, and nothing's going to put them off		

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1 Table 4: Comparison of present findings on flow in elite golf to Csikszentmihalyi's
2 dimensions.

	Csikszentmihalyi's Dimensions	Present (Inductive) Findings
Similar	Challenge-skill balance	Perceived challenge Confidence
	Autotelic experience and motivation	Enjoyment and intrinsic rewards Increased intrinsic motivation
	Action-awareness merging	Automaticity Absorption
	Loss of self-consciousness	Absence of negative thoughts
	Clear goals	Performance objectives
	Unambiguous feedback	Positive feedback about performance
	Concentration on the task at hand	Heightened concentration
	Sense of control	Perceptions of control
Extended	<i>Time transformation</i>	Altered cognitive and kinaesthetic perceptions
		Awareness and management of flow

3
4 Note: italics represent Csikszentmihalyi's original dimension which was
5 encompassed within the corresponding category identified in this study.
6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Appendix

Initial Interview Questions

- Are you familiar with the term “flow”?
 - If not, are you familiar with the terms “flowing”, being in “the zone”, or in “the groove”?
- Can you provide an example of one such experience which sticks out in your memory?
- In as much detail as possible, can you describe what these experiences are like?
- What are the most distinguishing characteristics, or clearest indicators of being in flow?
- What are you most aware of during flow?
- What are the most notable differences between being in and out of flow?